UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,744	12/28/2000	Hyung Byum Kim	13788	7201
	7590 03/30/200 LARK WORLDWIDI	EXAMINER		
Catherine E. Wolf			ANDERSON, CATHARINE L	
401 NORTH LAKE STREET NEENAH, WI 54956			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/750,744	KIM ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Lynne Anderson	3761		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut-Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This      Since this application is in condition for allowate closed in accordance with the practice under the second s	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 23-26 and 29-44 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 23-26 and 29-44 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the land drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the land drawing(s) is objected to be land drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 09/750,744 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10 January 208 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 10 January 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. In response to the applicant's argument that Anderson fails to disclose the intake intensifier pledget overlying the body-facing surface of the absorbent core, it is noted that Anderson discloses in column 13, lines 59-62, an embodiment in which the intake intensifier pledget (i.e. the surge layer) is interposed between the cover (i.e. the bodyside liner) and the absorbent core (i.e. the retention layer). Anderson therefore fulfills the limitations of the present claims.
- 4. In response to the applicant's argument that Anderson fails to disclose a first absorbent layer overlying a second absorbent layer, as not disclosed in claim 37, it is noted that layer 4 of Anderson, as shown in figure 1, may be considered the second absorbent layer, and the first absorbent layer 5 overlies it.

Application/Control Number: 09/750,744 Page 3

Art Unit: 3761

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 6. Claims 23-26 and 29-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al. (5,843,063) in view of Roe et al. (6,120,783).
- 7. With respect to claims 23, and 38, Anderson discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the cover including a hydroentangled, hydroapertured spun-lace material. Anderson discloses an absorbent article, as shown in figure 1. The article comprises a liquid pervious cover, as disclosed in column 13, lines 18-19, an absorbent core 5, and an intake intensifier pledget 2 and 3. The pledget includes a first layer 2 comprising a through air bonded carded web, as disclosed in column 14, line 29, the web having a basis weight of between 15 and 70 gsm, as disclosed in column 14, lines 33-35, and a second layer 3 comprising an airlaid nonwoven material, as discloses in column 20, line 7. The intake intensifier layer can be positioned between the cover and the body-facing surface of the absorbent core, as disclosed in column 13, lines 59-62.
- 8. Anderson discloses in column 13, lines 31-40, that the liquid pervious cover comprises a nonwoven material such as a spunbond or meltblown web. Roe teaches in column 6, lines 46-67, nonwoven webs that are spunbond, meltblown, hydroentangled, hydroapertured, or a combination thereof, are equivalent for use as the liquid pervious cover of an absorbent article.

Application/Control Number: 09/750,744

Art Unit: 3761

9. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make the liquid pervious cover of Anderson a hydroentagled, hydroapertured spunbond web, since Roe teaches the equivalence of such nonwoven webs for use as liquid pervious covers for absorbent articles.

Page 4

- 10. With respect to claim 24, the through air bonded carded web has a low density, as disclosed in column 14, line 35, and is lofty, as disclosed in column 39-40.
- 11. With respect to claims 25 and 39, the web comprises a staple fiber, as disclosed in column 14, line 24. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide staple fibers having a denier of between 3 and 10, since where the general conditions of the claims are disclosed in the prior art, finding the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.
- 12. With respect to claim 26, the web comprises a bicomponent fiber, as disclosed in column 14, line 23.
- 13. With respect to claims 29 and 40, the absorbent core comprises a mixture of superabsorbent and fluff, as disclosed in column 12, lines 29-31.
- 14. With respect to claim 30, Anderson discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the pledget having a length of at least 50 mm and a width of 30-60 mm. Anderson shows the pledget 2 has having a length that is less than the total length of the article, and width that is the width of the crotch region of the article. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make the length of the pledget at least 50 mm and the width between 30 and 60 mm, since it has been

Art Unit: 3761

held that where the general conditions of the claim are disclosed in the prior art (i.e. a pledget extending a portion of the length of an absorbent article), finding the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

- 15. With respect to claims 31 and 41, the article comprises a wrapping material 3, as shown in figure 1.
- 16. With respect to claim 32, the article comprises a fluid distribution layer 4, as shown in figure 1.
- 17. With respect to claim 33, a channel is formed adjacent the pledget 2, as shown in figure 1.
- 18. With respect to claims 34-35 and 42-43, the cover comprises rayon or polyester, as disclosed in column 13, lines 36-39.
- 19. With respect to claims 36 and 44, the cover comprises a mix of rayon and polyester, as disclosed in column 13, lines 36-39. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have the amount of rayon be 70% and the amount of polyester be 30%, since it has been held that where the general conditions of the claim are disclosed in the prior art, finding the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.
- 20. With respect to claim 37, the article further comprises a second absorbent layer 4 comprising a thru-air bonded carded web having a basis weight of 35-70 gsm, as disclosed in column 15, lines 30-35, and the first absorbent layer 5 overlies the second absorbent layer 4, as shown in figure 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide staple fibers having a denier of between

Application/Control Number: 09/750,744

Art Unit: 3761

3 and 10, since where the general conditions of the claims are disclosed in the prior art, finding the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Page 6

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne Anderson whose telephone number is (571)272-4932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 09/750,744 Page 7

Art Unit: 3761

/L. A./ Examiner, Art Unit 3761

/Tatyana Zalukaeva/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3761